

STAFF REPORT

City of Lancaster

NB 3
10/13/09
MVB

Date: October 13, 2009
To: Mayor Parris and City Council Members
From: David McEwen, City Attorney
Subject: **Regulating Medical Marijuana.**

Recommendation:

Give direction concerning the local regulation of medical marijuana cooperatives and collectives

Fiscal Impact:

Unknown

Discussion:

The Criminal Justice Commission has held two public meetings on this matter and at its August 12, 2009 meeting the Commission recommend that the City Council not allow dispensaries in the City. With respect to collectives or cooperatives, the Commission made the following motion: "While self-contained medical marijuana collectives/cooperatives are clearly allowed under state law, and prohibited under federal law, the Criminal Justice Commission recommends that if the City Council of the City of Lancaster chooses to allow collectives and/or cooperatives that they be regulated with very specific guidelines that reduce the opportunity for abuse and criminal behavior." A copy of the minutes of the August 12, 2009 Commission meeting are attached. The Commission also recommended that if the City Council decides to regulate collectives and cooperatives, Commissioner Brown and Vice Chairman Crist be part of a committee to work on drafting an ordinance.

Although the general rule under California law is that the cultivation, possession, and transportation of marijuana is illegal, such activity has been decriminalized under certain circumstances for individuals in need of marijuana for medical treatment. On the other hand, the cultivation, possession, and transportation of marijuana still remain completely illegal under federal law. If and to the extent the City of Lancaster (the "City") adopts an ordinance regulating the cultivation, possession and/or transportation of medical marijuana, the City should be careful to regulate only the specific activity that has been decriminalized under California law and should realize that such regulation would be contrary to existing federal law. Please note that this memorandum is merely a summary of the relevant state and federal law.

I. Cultivation, possession and transportation of marijuana under California law

A. General Rule: Cultivation, Possession and Transportation of Marijuana is Illegal

Under California law, the cultivation, possession, and transportation of marijuana is generally a crime (*See, e.g.*, Cal. Health & Safety Code § 11357 [possession of marijuana is a misdemeanor]; Cal. Health & Safety Code § 11358 [cultivation of marijuana is a felony]; Cal. Health & Safety Code § 11360 [transporting, selling, or giving away marijuana is a felony; under 28.5 grams is a misdemeanor]).

B. Proposition 215: Cultivation, Possession, and Transportation of Marijuana is Decriminalized Under Certain Circumstances

The Compassionate Use Act of 1996, effective as of November 6, 1996, (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 11362.5) (“Proposition 215”) decriminalized the cultivation and use of marijuana by seriously ill individuals upon a physician’s recommendation. Proposition 215 generally provides that “Section 11357 [of the California Health and Safety Code], relating to the possession of marijuana, and Section 11358 [of the California Health and Safety Code], relating to the cultivation of marijuana, shall not apply to a patient, or to a patient’s primary caregiver, who possesses or cultivates marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient upon the written or oral recommendation or approval of a physician.” Cal. Health & Safety Code § 11362.5(d). For the purpose of Proposition 215, the term “primary caregiver” means the person designated by the person exempt under Proposition 215 (*i.e.*, the patient) who has consistently assumed responsibility for the housing, health or safety of that person. Cal. Health & Safety Code § 11362.5(e). As adopted, Proposition 215 merely requires a patient to have the written or oral recommendation or approval of a physician in order to be exempt from prosecution for the cultivation or possession of marijuana.

The Medical Marijuana Program Act, effective as of January 1, 2004, (Cal Health & Safety Code §§ 11362.7-11362.83) (“Senate Bill 420”) builds upon Proposition 215 by, among other things, creating a voluntary program for the issuance of identification cards to qualified patients. Additionally, SB 420 provides that “[q]ualified patients, persons with valid identification cards, and the designated primary caregivers of qualified patients and persons with identification cards, who associate within the State of California in order to collectively or cooperatively to cultivate marijuana for medical purposes, shall not solely on the basis of that fact be subject to state criminal sanctions under Section 11357, 11358, 11359, 11360, 11366, 11366.5, or 11570 [of the California Health and Safety Code].” However, SB 420 specifies that it does not authorize any individual or group to cultivate or distribute marijuana for profit. Therefore, SB 420 adds to Proposition 215 (most significantly) in the following two ways: (i) it creates a voluntary identification card program and provides that holders of such identification cards are exempt from prosecution for the cultivation, possession, transportation or delivery of medical marijuana (which is somewhat broader than the protection afforded by Proposition 215); and (ii) it also permits holders of identification cards to collectively or cooperatively cultivate marijuana.

The identification card program established by SB 420 is to be administered by the county health department and is limited to a “qualified patient” with a “serious medical condition” and to such patient’s “primary caregiver.” A “qualified patient” is a person who is eligible for the exemption established by Proposition 215. Cal. Health & Safety Code § 11362.7(f). Each of the following are considered a “serious medical condition” for purposes of SB 420: (i) acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS); (ii) anorexia; (iii) arthritis; (iv) cachexia; (v) cancer; (vi) chronic pain; (vii) glaucoma; (viii) migraine; (ix) persistent muscle spasms, including, but not limited to, spasms associated with multiple sclerosis; (x) seizures, including, but not limited to, seizures associated with epilepsy; (xi) severe nausea; (xii) any other chronic or persistent medical symptom that either substantially limits the ability of the person to conduct one or more major life activities as defined in the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, or, if not alleviated, may cause serious harm to the patient’s safety or physical or mental health. Cal. Health & Safety Code § 11362.7(h). Like Proposition 215, SB 420 generally defines a “primary caregiver” as a person who has consistently assumed responsibility for the housing, health or safety of the qualified patient. Cal. Health & Safety Code § 11362.7(d).

It is very important to note that it is not necessary for a patient to obtain an identification card issued pursuant to SB 420 in order to qualify for the protection of Proposition 215. Cal. Health & Safety Code § 11362.72(f). Instead, the identification card program is voluntary and is intended to assist a law enforcement officer in determining whether a person is within the scope of Proposition 215. The protection afforded by Proposition 215 is not, however, contingent on a person obtaining an identification card pursuant to SB 420.

SB 420 also allows qualified patients to collectively or cooperatively cultivate marijuana. Cal. Health & Safety Code § 11362.775. Other than specifying that it does not authorize a collective or cooperative to cultivate or distribute marijuana for a profit, SB 420 does not provide much guidance for the formation and operation of a collective and/or cooperative. Because Proposition 215 and SB 420 only exempt from prosecution a qualified patient and his or her primary caregiver, the cultivation and transportation of marijuana by a collective or cooperative should be limited to such individuals. For example, the law does not appear to permit a collective or cooperative to use a third-party delivery service in order to deliver marijuana to its members. Therefore, although SB 420 permits qualified patients and primary caregivers to cultivate marijuana collectively or cooperatively, it does not open the door for commercial cultivation or distribution.

C. Local Regulation of the Cultivation, Possession and Transportation of Medical Marijuana

A recent case, City of Claremont v. Kruse (Case No. B210084), decided on August 27, 2009, determined that neither Proposition 215 nor any of the implementing statutes requires a City to adopt an ordinance allowing medical marijuana dispensaries to operate within the City.

To the extent the City would like to adopt an ordinance regulating the cultivation, possession, and transportation of marijuana that falls within the scope of Proposition 215 and SB 420, such an ordinance would likely be a valid exercise of the City's police power. The California Constitution provides that "[a] county or city may make and enforce within its limits all local, police, sanitary, and other ordinances and regulations not in conflict with general laws." Cal. Const., Art. XI, § 7. "Although the exercise of the police power must be confined to *local* regulations and is subject to general laws, it is otherwise as broad as that of the Legislature." Witkin, *Summary of California Law*, Constitutional Law § 984, p. 548 (10th Ed. 2005) (citations omitted; emphasis in original). There is no clear definition of what constitutes an exercise of the police power, so courts generally defer to legislative judgment. It has long been recognized that "[s]ubject to specific constitutional limitations, when the legislature has spoken, the public interest has been declared in terms well-nigh conclusive. In such cases the legislature, not the judiciary, is the main guardian of the public needs to be served by social legislation, whether it be Congress legislating concerning the District of Columbia...or the States legislating concerning local affairs." *Berman v. Parker*, 348 U.S. 26 (1954). More recently, the California Court of Appeal recognized that "[a] law is a valid exercise of the police power unless the law is manifestly unreasonable, arbitrary or capricious, and has no real or substantial relation to the public health, safety, morals or general welfare." *Massingill v. Department of Food & Agriculture*, 102 Cal. App. 4th 498, 504 (2002). An ordinance regulating the cultivation, possession, and transportation of marijuana seems to have a substantial relation to the public health, safety, morals and general welfare and would likely be valid exercise of the City's police power.

SB 420 contains anti-preemption language that specifies that a city may adopt and enforce an ordinance that is consistent with SB 420. As Proposition 215 does not contain such anti-preemption language, the generally applicable preemption rule would apply in determining whether Proposition 215 preempts the City's ordinance. In California,

[a] local ordinance will be preempted if it conflicts with state law, and a conflict exists if the local legislation *duplicates, contradicts, or enters an area fully occupied by general law*, either expressly or by legislative implication. Full occupation of the field is demonstrated by the Legislature's express manifestation of its intent to occupy the field, or when it has impliedly done so in light of one of the following indicia of intent: (1) the subject matter has been so fully and completely covered by general law as to clearly indicate that it has become exclusively a matter of state concern; (2) the subject matter has been partially covered by general law couched in such terms as to indicate clearly that a paramount state concern will not tolerate further or additional local action; or (3) the subject matter has been partially covered by general law, and the subject is of such a nature that the adverse effect of a local ordinance on the transient citizens of the state outweighs the possible benefit to the locality.

County of Santa Cruz v. Waterhouse, 127 Cal. App. 4th 1483, 1488-1489 (internal citations omitted; internal quotations omitted) (quoting *Sherwin-Williams Company v. City of Los Angeles*, 4 Cal. 4th 893 (1993)).

Here, the City's ordinance would likely not duplicate or contradict Proposition 215. The City's ordinance may, however, be found to enter area fully occupied by the general law (and therefore be preempted) if a court determines that the subject matter (*i.e.*, the regulation of medical marijuana) has been so fully and completely covered by general law as to clearly indicate that it has become exclusively a matter of state concern, has been partially covered by general law couched in such terms as to indicate clearly that a paramount state concern will not tolerate further or additional local action, has been partially covered by general law, and the subject is of such a nature that the adverse effect of a local ordinance on the transient citizens of the state outweighs the possible benefit to the locality. To avoid this potential preemption problem, it is advisable to limit any local ordinance to the matters addressed in SB 420 (which contains express anti-preemption language) and avoid regulating the basic immunity provided in Proposition 215.

II. CULTIVATION, POSSESSION AND TRANSPORTATION OF MARIJUANA UNDER FEDERAL LAW

The Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801, et seq.) (the "Act") prohibits the manufacture, distribution, or possession of any controlled substance. As the Act defines marijuana as a controlled substance, the manufacture, distribution, or possession of marijuana constitutes a federal criminal offense. Although the federal law and California law appear to be in conflict on this issue, they are not because (in part) California's decriminalization of medical marijuana does not require that qualified patients cultivate and transport marijuana; rather, such cultivation and transportation of marijuana is simply not prohibited. Consequently, neither Proposition 215 nor SB 420 create an actual conflict between state and federal law.

III CONCLUSION

While still illegal under federal law, California has decriminalized the cultivation, possession and transportation of marijuana under certain circumstances. The City may exercise its police power to regulate the cultivation, possession and transportation of marijuana that has been decriminalized under state law, but in order to avoid potential preemption of such ordinance should avoid restricting or regulating the basic protection afforded by Proposition 215 and should make sure that such ordinance is consistent with SB 420.

For your convenience, I have attached to this memorandum the following documents: (i) the Guidelines for the Security and Non-Diversion of Marijuana Grown for Medical Use published by the California Department of Justice (attached hereto as Attachment No. 1); (ii) Section 11362.5 of the California Health and Safety Code (*i.e.*, Proposition 215) (attached hereto as Attachment No. 2); and (ii) Sections 11362.7-11362.83 of the California Health and Safety Code (*i.e.*, SB 420) (attached hereto as attachment No. 3).

Attachments:

Guidelines for Security and Non-Diversion
Sections – California Health and Safety Code

ATTACHMENT NO. 1

**GUIDELINES FOR THE SECURITY AND NON-DIVERSION
OF MARIJUANA GROWN FOR MEDICAL USE**

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
Attorney General



DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
State of California

**GUIDELINES FOR THE SECURITY AND NON-DIVERSION
OF MARIJUANA GROWN FOR MEDICAL USE**

August 2008

In 1996, California voters approved an initiative that exempted certain patients and their primary caregivers from criminal liability under state law for the possession and cultivation of marijuana. In 2003, the Legislature enacted additional legislation relating to medical marijuana. One of those statutes requires the Attorney General to adopt “guidelines to ensure the security and nondiversion of marijuana grown for medical use.” (Health & Saf. Code, § 11362.81(d).¹) To fulfill this mandate, this Office is issuing the following guidelines to (1) ensure that marijuana grown for medical purposes remains secure and does not find its way to non-patients or illicit markets, (2) help law enforcement agencies perform their duties effectively and in accordance with California law, and (3) help patients and primary caregivers understand how they may cultivate, transport, possess, and use medical marijuana under California law.

I. SUMMARY OF APPLICABLE LAW

A. California Penal Provisions Relating to Marijuana.

The possession, sale, cultivation, or transportation of marijuana is ordinarily a crime under California law. (See, e.g., § 11357 [possession of marijuana is a misdemeanor]; § 11358 [cultivation of marijuana is a felony]; Veh. Code, § 23222 [possession of less than 1 oz. of marijuana while driving is a misdemeanor]; § 11359 [possession with intent to sell any amount of marijuana is a felony]; § 11360 [transporting, selling, or giving away marijuana in California is a felony; under 28.5 grams is a misdemeanor]; § 11361 [selling or distributing marijuana to minors, or using a minor to transport, sell, or give away marijuana, is a felony].)

B. Proposition 215 - The Compassionate Use Act of 1996.

On November 5, 1996, California voters passed Proposition 215, which decriminalized the cultivation and use of marijuana by seriously ill individuals upon a physician’s recommendation. (§ 11362.5.) Proposition 215 was enacted to “ensure that seriously ill Californians have the right to obtain and use marijuana for medical purposes where that medical use is deemed appropriate and has been recommended by a physician who has determined that the person’s health would benefit from the use of marijuana,” and to “ensure that patients and their primary caregivers who obtain and use marijuana for

¹ Unless otherwise noted, all statutory references are to the Health & Safety Code.

medical purposes upon the recommendation of a physician are not subject to criminal prosecution or sanction.” (§ 11362.5(b)(1)(A)-(B).)

The Act further states that “Section 11357, relating to the possession of marijuana, and Section 11358, relating to the cultivation of marijuana, shall not apply to a patient, or to a patient’s primary caregiver, who possesses or cultivates marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient upon the written or verbal recommendation or approval of a physician.” (§ 11362.5(d).) Courts have found an implied defense to the transportation of medical marijuana when the “quantity transported and the method, timing and distance of the transportation are reasonably related to the patient’s current medical needs.” (*People v. Trippet* (1997) 56 Cal.App.4th 1532, 1551.)

C. Senate Bill 420 - The Medical Marijuana Program Act.

On January 1, 2004, Senate Bill 420, the Medical Marijuana Program Act (MMP), became law. (§§ 11362.7-11362.83.) The MMP, among other things, requires the California Department of Public Health (DPH) to establish and maintain a program for the voluntary registration of qualified medical marijuana patients and their primary caregivers through a statewide identification card system. Medical marijuana identification cards are intended to help law enforcement officers identify and verify that cardholders are able to cultivate, possess, and transport certain amounts of marijuana without being subject to arrest under specific conditions. (§§ 11362.71(e), 11362.78.)

It is mandatory that all counties participate in the identification card program by (a) providing applications upon request to individuals seeking to join the identification card program; (b) processing completed applications; (c) maintaining certain records; (d) following state implementation protocols; and (e) issuing DPH identification cards to approved applicants and designated primary caregivers. (§ 11362.71(b).)

Participation by patients and primary caregivers in the identification card program is voluntary. However, because identification cards offer the holder protection from arrest, are issued only after verification of the cardholder’s status as a qualified patient or primary caregiver, and are immediately verifiable online or via telephone, they represent one of the best ways to ensure the security and non-diversion of marijuana grown for medical use.

In addition to establishing the identification card program, the MMP also defines certain terms, sets possession guidelines for cardholders, and recognizes a qualified right to collective and cooperative cultivation of medical marijuana. (§§ 11362.7, 11362.77, 11362.775.)

D. Taxability of Medical Marijuana Transactions.

In February 2007, the California State Board of Equalization (BOE) issued a Special Notice confirming its policy of taxing medical marijuana transactions, as well as its requirement that businesses engaging in such transactions hold a Seller’s Permit. (<http://www.boe.ca.gov/news/pdf/medseller2007.pdf>.) According to the Notice, having a Seller’s Permit does not allow individuals to make unlawful sales, but instead merely provides a way to remit any sales and use taxes due. BOE further clarified its policy in a

June 2007 Special Notice that addressed several frequently asked questions concerning taxation of medical marijuana transactions. (<http://www.boe.ca.gov/news/pdf/173.pdf>.)

E. Medical Board of California.

The Medical Board of California licenses, investigates, and disciplines California physicians. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2000, et seq.) Although state law prohibits punishing a physician simply for recommending marijuana for treatment of a serious medical condition (§ 11362.5(c)), the Medical Board can and does take disciplinary action against physicians who fail to comply with accepted medical standards when recommending marijuana. In a May 13, 2004 press release, the Medical Board clarified that these accepted standards are the same ones that a reasonable and prudent physician would follow when recommending or approving any medication. They include the following:

1. Taking a history and conducting a good faith examination of the patient;
2. Developing a treatment plan with objectives;
3. Providing informed consent, including discussion of side effects;
4. Periodically reviewing the treatment's efficacy;
5. Consultations, as necessary; and
6. Keeping proper records supporting the decision to recommend the use of medical marijuana.

(http://www.mbc.ca.gov/board/media/releases_2004_05-13_marijuana.html.)

Complaints about physicians should be addressed to the Medical Board (1-800-633-2322 or www.mbc.ca.gov), which investigates and prosecutes alleged licensing violations in conjunction with the Attorney General's Office.

F. The Federal Controlled Substances Act.

Adopted in 1970, the Controlled Substances Act (CSA) established a federal regulatory system designed to combat recreational drug abuse by making it unlawful to manufacture, distribute, dispense, or possess any controlled substance. (21 U.S.C. § 801, et seq.; *Gonzales v. Oregon* (2006) 546 U.S. 243, 271-273.) The CSA reflects the federal government's view that marijuana is a drug with "no currently accepted medical use." (21 U.S.C. § 812(b)(1).) Accordingly, the manufacture, distribution, or possession of marijuana is a federal criminal offense. (*Id.* at §§ 841(a)(1), 844(a).)

The incongruity between federal and state law has given rise to understandable confusion, but no legal conflict exists merely because state law and federal law treat marijuana differently. Indeed, California's medical marijuana laws have been challenged unsuccessfully in court on the ground that they are preempted by the CSA. (*County of San Diego v. San Diego NORML* (July 31, 2008) --- Cal.Rptr.3d ---, 2008 WL 2930117.) Congress has provided that states are free to regulate in the area of controlled substances, including marijuana, provided that state law does not positively conflict with the CSA. (21 U.S.C. § 903.) Neither Proposition 215, nor the MMP, conflict with the CSA because, in adopting these laws, California did not "legalize" medical marijuana, but instead exercised the state's reserved powers to not punish certain marijuana offenses under state law when a

physician has recommended its use to treat a serious medical condition. (See *City of Garden Grove v. Superior Court (Kha)* (2007) 157 Cal.App.4th 355, 371-373, 381-382.)

In light of California's decision to remove the use and cultivation of physician-recommended marijuana from the scope of the state's drug laws, this Office recommends that state and local law enforcement officers not arrest individuals or seize marijuana under federal law when the officer determines from the facts available that the cultivation, possession, or transportation is permitted under California's medical marijuana laws.

II. DEFINITIONS

- A. **Physician's Recommendation:** Physicians may not prescribe marijuana because the federal Food and Drug Administration regulates prescription drugs and, under the CSA, marijuana is a Schedule I drug, meaning that it has no recognized medical use. Physicians may, however, lawfully issue a verbal or written recommendation under California law indicating that marijuana would be a beneficial treatment for a serious medical condition. (§ 11362.5(d); *Conant v. Walters* (9th Cir. 2002) 309 F.3d 629, 632.)
- B. **Primary Caregiver:** A primary caregiver is a person who is designated by a qualified patient and "has consistently assumed responsibility for the housing, health, or safety" of the patient. (§ 11362.5(e).) California courts have emphasized the consistency element of the patient-caregiver relationship. Although a "primary caregiver who consistently grows and supplies . . . medicinal marijuana for a section 11362.5 patient is serving a health need of the patient," someone who merely maintains a source of marijuana does not automatically become the party "who has consistently assumed responsibility for the housing, health, or safety" of that purchaser. (*People ex rel. Lungren v. Peron* (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 1383, 1390, 1400.) A person may serve as primary caregiver to "more than one" patient, provided that the patients and caregiver all reside in the same city or county. (§ 11362.7(d)(2).) Primary caregivers also may receive certain compensation for their services. (§ 11362.765(c) ["A primary caregiver who receives compensation for actual expenses, including reasonable compensation incurred for services provided . . . to enable [a patient] to use marijuana under this article, or for payment for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in providing those services, or both, . . . shall not, on the sole basis of that fact, be subject to prosecution" for possessing or transporting marijuana].)
- C. **Qualified Patient:** A qualified patient is a person whose physician has recommended the use of marijuana to treat a serious illness, including cancer, anorexia, AIDS, chronic pain, spasticity, glaucoma, arthritis, migraine, or any other illness for which marijuana provides relief. (§ 11362.5(b)(1)(A).)
- D. **Recommending Physician:** A recommending physician is a person who (1) possesses a license in good standing to practice medicine in California; (2) has taken responsibility for some aspect of the medical care, treatment, diagnosis, counseling, or referral of a patient; and (3) has complied with accepted medical standards (as described by the Medical Board of California in its May 13, 2004 press release) that a reasonable and prudent physician would follow when recommending or approving medical marijuana for the treatment of his or her patient.

III. GUIDELINES REGARDING INDIVIDUAL QUALIFIED PATIENTS AND PRIMARY CAREGIVERS

A. State Law Compliance Guidelines.

1. **Physician Recommendation:** Patients must have a written or verbal recommendation for medical marijuana from a licensed physician. (§ 11362.5(d).)
2. **State of California Medical Marijuana Identification Card:** Under the MMP, qualified patients and their primary caregivers may voluntarily apply for a card issued by DPH identifying them as a person who is authorized to use, possess, or transport marijuana grown for medical purposes. To help law enforcement officers verify the cardholder's identity, each card bears a unique identification number, and a verification database is available online (www.calmmp.ca.gov). In addition, the cards contain the name of the county health department that approved the application, a 24-hour verification telephone number, and an expiration date. (§§ 11362.71(a); 11362.735(a)(3)-(4); 11362.745.)
3. **Proof of Qualified Patient Status:** Although verbal recommendations are technically permitted under Proposition 215, patients should obtain and carry written proof of their physician recommendations to help them avoid arrest. A state identification card is the best form of proof, because it is easily verifiable and provides immunity from arrest if certain conditions are met (see section III.B.4, below). The next best forms of proof are a city- or county-issued patient identification card, or a written recommendation from a physician.

4. Possession Guidelines:

a) **MMP:**² Qualified patients and primary caregivers who possess a state-issued identification card may possess 8 oz. of dried marijuana, and may maintain no more than 6 mature or 12 immature plants per qualified patient. (§ 11362.77(a).) But, if "a qualified patient or primary caregiver has a doctor's recommendation that this quantity does not meet the qualified patient's medical needs, the qualified patient or primary caregiver may possess an amount of marijuana consistent with the patient's needs." (§ 11362.77(b).) Only the dried mature processed flowers or buds of the female cannabis plant should be considered when determining allowable quantities of medical marijuana for purposes of the MMP. (§ 11362.77(d).)

b) **Local Possession Guidelines:** Counties and cities may adopt regulations that allow qualified patients or primary caregivers to possess

² On May 22, 2008, California's Second District Court of Appeal severed Health & Safety Code § 11362.77 from the MMP on the ground that the statute's possession guidelines were an unconstitutional amendment of Proposition 215, which does not quantify the marijuana a patient may possess. (See *People v. Kelly* (2008) 163 Cal.App.4th 124, 77 Cal.Rptr.3d 390.) The Third District Court of Appeal recently reached a similar conclusion in *People v. Phomphakdy* (July 31, 2008) --- Cal.Rptr.3d ---, 2008 WL 2931369. The California Supreme Court has granted review in *Kelly* and the Attorney General intends to seek review in *Phomphakdy*.

medical marijuana in amounts that exceed the MMP's possession guidelines. (§ 11362.77(c).)

c) **Proposition 215:** Qualified patients claiming protection under Proposition 215 may possess an amount of marijuana that is "reasonably related to [their] current medical needs." (*People v. Trippet* (1997) 56 Cal.App.4th 1532, 1549.)

B. **Enforcement Guidelines.**

1. **Location of Use:** Medical marijuana may not be smoked (a) where smoking is prohibited by law, (b) at or within 1000 feet of a school, recreation center, or youth center (unless the medical use occurs within a residence), (c) on a school bus, or (d) in a moving motor vehicle or boat. (§ 11362.79.)

2. **Use of Medical Marijuana in the Workplace or at Correctional Facilities:** The medical use of marijuana need not be accommodated in the workplace, during work hours, or at any jail, correctional facility, or other penal institution. (§ 11362.785(a); *Ross v. RagingWire Telecomms., Inc.* (2008) 42 Cal.4th 920, 933 [under the Fair Employment and Housing Act, an employer may terminate an employee who tests positive for marijuana use].)

3. **Criminal Defendants, Probationers, and Parolees:** Criminal defendants and probationers may request court approval to use medical marijuana while they are released on bail or probation. The court's decision and reasoning must be stated on the record and in the minutes of the court. Likewise, parolees who are eligible to use medical marijuana may request that they be allowed to continue such use during the period of parole. The written conditions of parole must reflect whether the request was granted or denied. (§ 11362.795.)

4. **State of California Medical Marijuana Identification Cardholders:** When a person invokes the protections of Proposition 215 or the MMP and he or she possesses a state medical marijuana identification card, officers should:

a) Review the identification card and verify its validity either by calling the telephone number printed on the card, or by accessing DPH's card verification website (<http://www.calmmmp.ca.gov>); and

b) If the card is valid and not being used fraudulently, there are no other indicia of illegal activity (weapons, illicit drugs, or excessive amounts of cash), and the person is within the state or local possession guidelines, the individual should be released and the marijuana should not be seized. Under the MMP, "no person or designated primary caregiver in possession of a valid state medical marijuana identification card shall be subject to arrest for possession, transportation, delivery, or cultivation of medical marijuana." (§ 11362.71(e).) Further, a "state or local law enforcement agency or officer shall not refuse to accept an identification card issued by the department unless the state or local law enforcement agency or officer

has reasonable cause to believe that the information contained in the card is false or fraudulent, or the card is being used fraudulently.” (§ 11362.78.)

5. **Non-Cardholders:** When a person claims protection under Proposition 215 or the MMP and only has a locally-issued (i.e., non-state) patient identification card, or a written (or verbal) recommendation from a licensed physician, officers should use their sound professional judgment to assess the validity of the person’s medical-use claim:

a) Officers need not abandon their search or investigation. The standard search and seizure rules apply to the enforcement of marijuana-related violations. Reasonable suspicion is required for detention, while probable cause is required for search, seizure, and arrest.

b) Officers should review any written documentation for validity. It may contain the physician’s name, telephone number, address, and license number.

c) If the officer reasonably believes that the medical-use claim is valid based upon the totality of the circumstances (including the quantity of marijuana, packaging for sale, the presence of weapons, illicit drugs, or large amounts of cash), and the person is within the state or local possession guidelines or has an amount consistent with their current medical needs, the person should be released and the marijuana should not be seized.

d) Alternatively, if the officer has probable cause to doubt the validity of a person’s medical marijuana claim based upon the facts and circumstances, the person may be arrested and the marijuana may be seized. It will then be up to the person to establish his or her medical marijuana defense in court.

e) Officers are not obligated to accept a person’s claim of having a verbal physician’s recommendation that cannot be readily verified with the physician at the time of detention.

6. **Exceeding Possession Guidelines:** If a person has what appears to be valid medical marijuana documentation, but exceeds the applicable possession guidelines identified above, all marijuana may be seized.

7. **Return of Seized Medical Marijuana:** If a person whose marijuana is seized by law enforcement successfully establishes a medical marijuana defense in court, or the case is not prosecuted, he or she may file a motion for return of the marijuana. If a court grants the motion and orders the return of marijuana seized incident to an arrest, the individual or entity subject to the order must return the property. State law enforcement officers who handle controlled substances in the course of their official duties are immune from liability under the CSA. (21 U.S.C. § 885(d).) Once the marijuana is returned, federal authorities are free to exercise jurisdiction over it. (21 U.S.C. §§ 812(c)(10), 844(a); *City of Garden Grove v. Superior Court (Kha)* (2007) 157 Cal.App.4th 355, 369, 386, 391.)

IV. GUIDELINES REGARDING COLLECTIVES AND COOPERATIVES

Under California law, medical marijuana patients and primary caregivers may “associate within the State of California in order collectively or cooperatively to cultivate marijuana for medical purposes.” (§ 11362.775.) The following guidelines are meant to apply to qualified patients and primary caregivers who come together to collectively or cooperatively cultivate physician-recommended marijuana.

A. Business Forms: Any group that is collectively or cooperatively cultivating and distributing marijuana for medical purposes should be organized and operated in a manner that ensures the security of the crop and safeguards against diversion for non-medical purposes. The following are guidelines to help cooperatives and collectives operate within the law, and to help law enforcement determine whether they are doing so.

1. **Statutory Cooperatives:** A cooperative must file articles of incorporation with the state and conduct its business for the mutual benefit of its members. (Corp. Code, § 12201, 12300.) No business may call itself a “cooperative” (or “co-op”) unless it is properly organized and registered as such a corporation under the Corporations or Food and Agricultural Code. (*Id.* at § 12311(b).) Cooperative corporations are “democratically controlled and are not organized to make a profit for themselves, as such, or for their members, as such, but primarily for their members as patrons.” (*Id.* at § 12201.) The earnings and savings of the business must be used for the general welfare of its members or equitably distributed to members in the form of cash, property, credits, or services. (*Ibid.*) Cooperatives must follow strict rules on organization, articles, elections, and distribution of earnings, and must report individual transactions from individual members each year. (See *id.* at § 12200, et seq.) Agricultural cooperatives are likewise nonprofit corporate entities “since they are not organized to make profit for themselves, as such, or for their members, as such, but only for their members as producers.” (Food & Agric. Code, § 54033.) Agricultural cooperatives share many characteristics with consumer cooperatives. (See, e.g., *id.* at § 54002, et seq.) Cooperatives should not purchase marijuana from, or sell to, non-members; instead, they should only provide a means for facilitating or coordinating transactions between members.

2. **Collectives:** California law does not define collectives, but the dictionary defines them as “a business, farm, etc., jointly owned and operated by the members of a group.” (*Random House Unabridged Dictionary*; Random House, Inc. © 2006.) Applying this definition, a collective should be an organization that merely facilitates the collaborative efforts of patient and caregiver members – including the allocation of costs and revenues. As such, a collective is not a statutory entity, but as a practical matter it might have to organize as some form of business to carry out its activities. The collective should not purchase marijuana from, or sell to, non-members; instead, it should only provide a means for facilitating or coordinating transactions between members.

B. Guidelines for the Lawful Operation of a Cooperative or Collective:

Collectives and cooperatives should be organized with sufficient structure to ensure security, non-diversion of marijuana to illicit markets, and compliance with all state and local laws. The following are some suggested guidelines and practices for operating collective growing operations to help ensure lawful operation.

1. **Non-Profit Operation:** Nothing in Proposition 215 or the MMP authorizes collectives, cooperatives, or individuals to profit from the sale or distribution of marijuana. (See, e.g., § 11362.765(a) ["nothing in this section shall authorize . . . any individual or group to cultivate or distribute marijuana for profit"].)

2. **Business Licenses, Sales Tax, and Seller's Permits:** The State Board of Equalization has determined that medical marijuana transactions are subject to sales tax, regardless of whether the individual or group makes a profit, and those engaging in transactions involving medical marijuana must obtain a Seller's Permit. Some cities and counties also require dispensing collectives and cooperatives to obtain business licenses.

3. **Membership Application and Verification:** When a patient or primary caregiver wishes to join a collective or cooperative, the group can help prevent the diversion of marijuana for non-medical use by having potential members complete a written membership application. The following application guidelines should be followed to help ensure that marijuana grown for medical use is not diverted to illicit markets:

a) Verify the individual's status as a qualified patient or primary caregiver. Unless he or she has a valid state medical marijuana identification card, this should involve personal contact with the recommending physician (or his or her agent), verification of the physician's identity, as well as his or her state licensing status. Verification of primary caregiver status should include contact with the qualified patient, as well as validation of the patient's recommendation. Copies should be made of the physician's recommendation or identification card, if any;

b) Have the individual agree not to distribute marijuana to non-members;

c) Have the individual agree not to use the marijuana for other than medical purposes;

d) Maintain membership records on-site or have them reasonably available;

e) Track when members' medical marijuana recommendation and/or identification cards expire; and

f) Enforce conditions of membership by excluding members whose identification card or physician recommendation are invalid or have expired, or who are caught diverting marijuana for non-medical use.

4. **Collectives Should Acquire, Possess, and Distribute Only Lawfully Cultivated Marijuana:** Collectives and cooperatives should acquire marijuana only from their constituent members, because only marijuana grown by a qualified patient or his or her primary caregiver may lawfully be transported by, or distributed to, other members of a collective or cooperative. (§§ 11362.765, 11362.775.) The collective or cooperative may then allocate it to other members of the group. Nothing allows marijuana to be purchased from outside the collective or cooperative for distribution to its members. Instead, the cycle should be a closed-circuit of marijuana cultivation and consumption with no purchases or sales to or from non-members. To help prevent diversion of medical marijuana to non-medical markets, collectives and cooperatives should document each member's contribution of labor, resources, or money to the enterprise. They also should track and record the source of their marijuana.

5. **Distribution and Sales to Non-Members are Prohibited:** State law allows primary caregivers to be reimbursed for certain services (including marijuana cultivation), but nothing allows individuals or groups to sell or distribute marijuana to non-members. Accordingly, a collective or cooperative may not distribute medical marijuana to any person who is not a member in good standing of the organization. A dispensing collective or cooperative may credit its members for marijuana they provide to the collective, which it may then allocate to other members. (§ 11362.765(c).) Members also may reimburse the collective or cooperative for marijuana that has been allocated to them. Any monetary reimbursement that members provide to the collective or cooperative should only be an amount necessary to cover overhead costs and operating expenses.

6. **Permissible Reimbursements and Allocations:** Marijuana grown at a collective or cooperative for medical purposes may be:

- a) Provided free to qualified patients and primary caregivers who are members of the collective or cooperative;
- b) Provided in exchange for services rendered to the entity;
- c) Allocated based on fees that are reasonably calculated to cover overhead costs and operating expenses; or
- d) Any combination of the above.

7. **Possession and Cultivation Guidelines:** If a person is acting as primary caregiver to more than one patient under section 11362.7(d)(2), he or she may aggregate the possession and cultivation limits for each patient. For example, applying the MMP's basic possession guidelines, if a caregiver is responsible for three patients, he or she may possess up to 24 oz. of marijuana (8 oz. per patient) and may grow 18 mature or 36 immature plants. Similarly, collectives and cooperatives may cultivate and transport marijuana in aggregate amounts tied to its membership numbers. Any patient or primary caregiver exceeding individual possession guidelines should have supporting records readily available when:

- a) Operating a location for cultivation;
- b) Transporting the group's medical marijuana; and
- c) Operating a location for distribution to members of the collective or cooperative.

8. **Security:** Collectives and cooperatives should provide adequate security to ensure that patients are safe and that the surrounding homes or businesses are not negatively impacted by nuisance activity such as loitering or crime. Further, to maintain security, prevent fraud, and deter robberies, collectives and cooperatives should keep accurate records and follow accepted cash handling practices, including regular bank runs and cash drops, and maintain a general ledger of cash transactions.

C. **Enforcement Guidelines:** Depending upon the facts and circumstances, deviations from the guidelines outlined above, or other indicia that marijuana is not for medical use, may give rise to probable cause for arrest and seizure. The following are additional guidelines to help identify medical marijuana collectives and cooperatives that are operating outside of state law.

1. **Storefront Dispensaries:** Although medical marijuana “dispensaries” have been operating in California for years, dispensaries, as such, are not recognized under the law. As noted above, the only recognized group entities are cooperatives and collectives. (§ 11362.775.) It is the opinion of this Office that a properly organized and operated collective or cooperative that dispenses medical marijuana through a storefront may be lawful under California law, but that dispensaries that do not substantially comply with the guidelines set forth in sections IV(A) and (B), above, are likely operating outside the protections of Proposition 215 and the MMP, and that the individuals operating such entities may be subject to arrest and criminal prosecution under California law. For example, dispensaries that merely require patients to complete a form summarily designating the business owner as their primary caregiver – and then offering marijuana in exchange for cash “donations” – are likely unlawful. (*Peron, supra*, 59 Cal.App.4th at p. 1400 [cannabis club owner was not the primary caregiver to thousands of patients where he did not consistently assume responsibility for their housing, health, or safety].)

2. **Indicia of Unlawful Operation:** When investigating collectives or cooperatives, law enforcement officers should be alert for signs of mass production or illegal sales, including (a) excessive amounts of marijuana, (b) excessive amounts of cash, (c) failure to follow local and state laws applicable to similar businesses, such as maintenance of any required licenses and payment of any required taxes, including sales taxes, (d) weapons, (e) illicit drugs, (f) purchases from, or sales or distribution to, non-members, or (g) distribution outside of California.

ATTACHMENT NO. 2

SECTION 11362.5 OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE (PROPOSITION 215)

§ 11362.5. Use of marijuana for medical purposes

(a) This section shall be known and may be cited as the Compassionate Use Act of 1996.

(b)

(1) The people of the State of California hereby find and declare that the purposes of the Compassionate Use Act of 1996 are as follows:

(A) To ensure that seriously ill Californians have the right to obtain and use marijuana for medical purposes where that medical use is deemed appropriate and has been recommended by a physician who has determined that the person's health would benefit from the use of marijuana in the treatment of cancer, anorexia, AIDS, chronic pain, spasticity, glaucoma, arthritis, migraine, or any other illness for which marijuana provides relief.

(B) To ensure that patients and their primary caregivers who obtain and use marijuana for medical purposes upon the recommendation of a physician are not subject to criminal prosecution or sanction.

(C) To encourage the federal and state governments to implement a plan to provide for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana.

(2) Nothing in this section shall be construed to supersede legislation prohibiting persons from engaging in conduct that endangers others, nor to condone the diversion of marijuana for nonmedical purposes.

(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no physician in this state shall be punished, or denied any right or privilege, for having recommended marijuana to a patient for medical purposes.

(d) Section 11357, relating to the possession of marijuana, and Section 11358, relating to the cultivation of marijuana, shall not apply to a patient, or to a patient's primary caregiver, who possesses or cultivates marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient upon the written or oral recommendation or approval of a physician.

(e) For the purposes of this section, "primary caregiver" means the individual designated by the person exempted under this section who has consistently assumed responsibility for the housing, health, or safety of that person.

ATTACHMENT NO. 3

SECTIONS 11362.7-11362.83 OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE (SB 420)

§ 11362.7. Definitions

For purposes of this article, the following definitions shall apply:

(a) "Attending physician" means an individual who possesses a license in good standing to practice medicine or osteopathy issued by the Medical Board of California or the Osteopathic Medical Board of California and who has taken responsibility for an aspect of the medical care, treatment, diagnosis, counseling, or referral of a patient and who has conducted a medical examination of that patient before recording in the patient's medical record the physician's assessment of whether the patient has a serious medical condition and whether the medical use of marijuana is appropriate.

(b) "Department" means the State Department of Health Services.

(c) "Person with an identification card" means an individual who is a qualified patient who has applied for and received a valid identification card pursuant to this article.

(d) "Primary caregiver" means the individual, designated by a qualified patient or by a person with an identification card, who has consistently assumed responsibility for the housing, health, or safety of that patient or person, and may include any of the following:

(1) In any case in which a qualified patient or person with an identification card receives medical care or supportive services, or both, from a clinic licensed pursuant to Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 1200) of Division 2, a health care facility licensed pursuant to Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 1250) of Division 2, a residential care facility for persons with chronic life-threatening illness licensed pursuant to Chapter 3.01 (commencing with Section 1568.01) of Division 2, a residential care facility for the elderly licensed pursuant to Chapter 3.2 (commencing with Section 1569) of Division 2, a hospice, or a home health agency licensed pursuant to Chapter 8 (commencing with Section 1725) of Division 2, the owner or operator, or no more than three employees who are designated by the owner or operator, of the clinic, facility, hospice, or home health agency, if designated as a primary caregiver by that qualified patient or person with an identification card.

(2) An individual who has been designated as a primary caregiver by more than one qualified patient or person with an identification card, if every qualified patient or person with an identification card who has designated that individual as a primary caregiver resides in the same city or county as the primary caregiver.

(3) An individual who has been designated as a primary caregiver by a qualified patient or person with an identification card who resides in a city or county other than that of the primary caregiver, if the individual has not been designated as a primary caregiver by any other qualified patient or person with an identification card.

(e) A primary caregiver shall be at least 18 years of age, unless the primary caregiver is the parent of a minor child who is a qualified patient or a person with an identification card or the

primary caregiver is a person otherwise entitled to make medical decisions under state law pursuant to Sections 6922, 7002, 7050, or 7120 of the Family Code.

(f) "Qualified patient" means a person who is entitled to the protections of Section 11362.5, but who does not have an identification card issued pursuant to this article.

(g) "Identification card" means a document issued by the State Department of Health Services that document identifies a person authorized to engage in the medical use of marijuana and the person's designated primary caregiver, if any.

(h) "Serious medical condition" means all of the following medical conditions:

(1) Acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS).

(2) Anorexia.

(3) Arthritis.

(4) Cachexia.

(5) Cancer.

(6) Chronic pain.

(7) Glaucoma.

(8) Migraine.

(9) Persistent muscle spasms, including, but not limited to, spasms associated with multiple sclerosis.

(10) Seizures, including, but not limited to, seizures associated with epilepsy.

(11) Severe nausea.

(12) Any other chronic or persistent medical symptom that either:

(A) Substantially limits the ability of the person to conduct one or more major life activities as defined in the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336).

(B) If not alleviated, may cause serious harm to the patient's safety or physical or mental health.

(i) "Written documentation" means accurate reproductions of those portions of a patient's medical records that have been created by the attending physician, that contain the information required by paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 11362.715, and that the patient may submit to a county health department or the county's designee as part of an application for an identification card.

§ 11362.71. Identification card program; Duties of county health department or designee; Immunity of cardholder

(a)

(1) The department shall establish and maintain a voluntary program for the issuance of identification cards to qualified patients who satisfy the requirements of this article and voluntarily apply to the identification card program.

(2) The department shall establish and maintain a 24-hour, toll-free telephone number that will enable state and local law enforcement officers to have immediate access to information necessary to verify the validity of an identification card issued by the department, until a cost-effective Internet Web-based system can be developed for this purpose.

(b) Every county health department, or the county's designee, shall do all of the following:

(1) Provide applications upon request to individuals seeking to join the identification card program.

(2) Receive and process completed applications in accordance with Section 11362.72.

(3) Maintain records of identification card programs.

(4) Utilize protocols developed by the department pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (d).

(5) Issue identification cards developed by the department to approved applicants and designated primary caregivers.

(c) The county board of supervisors may designate another health-related governmental or nongovernmental entity or organization to perform the functions described in subdivision (b), except for an entity or organization that cultivates or distributes marijuana.

(d) The department shall develop all of the following:

(1) Protocols that shall be used by a county health department or the county's designee to implement the responsibilities described in subdivision (b), including, but not limited to, protocols to confirm the accuracy of information contained in an application and to protect the confidentiality of program records.

(2) Application forms that shall be issued to requesting applicants.

(3) An identification card that identifies a person authorized to engage in the medical use of marijuana and an identification card that identifies the person's designated primary caregiver, if any. The two identification cards developed pursuant to this paragraph shall be easily distinguishable from each other.

(e) No person or designated primary caregiver in possession of a valid identification card shall be subject to arrest for possession, transportation, delivery, or cultivation of medical marijuana in an amount established pursuant to this article, unless there is reasonable cause to

believe that the information contained in the card is false or falsified, the card has been obtained by means of fraud, or the person is otherwise in violation of the provisions of this article.

(f) It shall not be necessary for a person to obtain an identification card in order to claim the protections of Section 11362.5.

§ 11362.715. Application for identification card

(a) A person who seeks an identification card shall pay the fee, as provided in Section 11362.755, and provide all of the following to the county health department or the county's designee on a form developed and provided by the department:

(1) The name of the person, and proof of his or her residency within the county.

(2) Written documentation by the attending physician in the person's medical records stating that the person has been diagnosed with a serious medical condition and that the medical use of marijuana is appropriate.

(3) The name, office address, office telephone number, and California medical license number of the person's attending physician.

(4) The name and the duties of the primary caregiver.

(5) A government-issued photo identification card of the person and of the designated primary caregiver, if any. If the applicant is a person under 18 years of age, a certified copy of a birth certificate shall be deemed sufficient proof of identity.

(b) If the person applying for an identification card lacks the capacity to make medical decisions, the application may be made by the person's legal representative, including, but not limited to, any of the following:

(1) A conservator with authority to make medical decisions.

(2) An attorney-in-fact under a durable power of attorney for health care or surrogate decisionmaker authorized under another advanced health care directive.

(3) Any other individual authorized by statutory or decisional law to make medical decisions for the person.

(c) The legal representative described in subdivision (b) may also designate in the application an individual, including himself or herself, to serve as a primary caregiver for the person, provided that the individual meets the definition of a primary caregiver.

(d) The person or legal representative submitting the written information and documentation described in subdivision (a) shall retain a copy thereof.

§ 11362.72. Processing of application; Temporary identification card

(a) Within 30 days of receipt of an application for an identification card, a county health department or the county's designee shall do all of the following:

(1) For purposes of processing the application, verify that the information contained in the application is accurate. If the person is less than 18 years of age, the county health department or its designee shall also contact the parent with legal authority to make medical decisions, legal guardian, or other person or entity with legal authority to make medical decisions, to verify the information.

(2) Verify with the Medical Board of California or the Osteopathic Medical Board of California that the attending physician has a license in good standing to practice medicine or osteopathy in the state.

(3) Contact the attending physician by facsimile, telephone, or mail to confirm that the medical records submitted by the patient are a true and correct copy of those contained in the physician's office records. When contacted by a county health department or the county's designee, the attending physician shall confirm or deny that the contents of the medical records are accurate.

(4) Take a photograph or otherwise obtain an electronically transmissible image of the applicant and of the designated primary caregiver, if any.

(5) Approve or deny the application. If an applicant who meets the requirements of Section 11362.715 can establish that an identification card is needed on an emergency basis, the county or its designee shall issue a temporary identification card that shall be valid for 30 days from the date of issuance. The county, or its designee, may extend the temporary identification card for no more than 30 days at a time, so long as the applicant continues to meet the requirements of this paragraph.

(b) If the county health department or the county's designee approves the application, it shall, within 24 hours, or by the end of the next working day of approving the application, electronically transmit the following information to the department:

(1) A unique user identification number of the applicant.

(2) The date of expiration of the identification card.

(3) The name and telephone number of the county health department or the county's designee that has approved the application.

(c) The county health department or the county's designee shall issue an identification card to the applicant and to his or her designated primary caregiver, if any, within five working days of approving the application.

(d) In any case involving an incomplete application, the applicant shall assume responsibility for rectifying the deficiency. The county shall have 14 days from the receipt of information from the applicant pursuant to this subdivision to approve or deny the application.

§ 11362.735. Numbering and contents of identification card; Separate card for primary caregiver

(a) An identification card issued by the county health department shall be serially numbered and shall contain all of the following:

(1) A unique user identification number of the cardholder.

(2) The date of expiration of the identification card.

(3) The name and telephone number of the county health department or the county's designee that has approved the application.

(4) A 24-hour, toll-free telephone number, to be maintained by the department, that will enable state and local law enforcement officers to have immediate access to information necessary to verify the validity of the card.

(5) Photo identification of the cardholder.

(b) A separate identification card shall be issued to the person's designated primary caregiver, if any, and shall include a photo identification of the caregiver.

§ 11362.74. Grounds for denial of application; When applicant may reapply; Appeal to department

(a) The county health department or the county's designee may deny an application only for any of the following reasons:

(1) The applicant did not provide the information required by Section 11362.715, and upon notice of the deficiency pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 11362.72, did not provide the information within 30 days.

(2) The county health department or the county's designee determines that the information provided was false.

(3) The applicant does not meet the criteria set forth in this article.

(b) Any person whose application has been denied pursuant to subdivision (a) may not reapply for six months from the date of denial unless otherwise authorized by the county health department or the county's designee or by a court of competent jurisdiction.

(c) Any person whose application has been denied pursuant to subdivision (a) may appeal that decision to the department. The county health department or the county's designee shall make available a telephone number or address to which the denied applicant can direct an appeal.

§ 11362.745. Term of identification card; Annual renewal; Transmittal of determination

(a) An identification card shall be valid for a period of one year.

(b) Upon annual renewal of an identification card, the county health department or its designee shall verify all new information and may verify any other information that has not changed.

(c) The county health department or the county's designee shall transmit its determination of approval or denial of a renewal to the department.

§ 11362.755. Application and renewal fees; Reduction for Medi-Cal beneficiary

(a) The department shall establish application and renewal fees for persons seeking to obtain or renew identification cards that are sufficient to cover the expenses incurred by the department, including the startup cost, the cost of reduced fees for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in accordance with subdivision (b), the cost of identifying and developing a cost-effective Internet Web-based system, and the cost of maintaining the 24-hour toll-free telephone number. Each county health department or the county's designee may charge an additional fee for all costs incurred by the county or the county's designee for administering the program pursuant to this article.

(b) Upon satisfactory proof of participation and eligibility in the Medi-Cal program, a Medi-Cal beneficiary shall receive a 50 percent reduction in the fees established pursuant to this section.

§ 11362.76. Duties of cardholder; Effect of noncompliance; Change of primary caregiver

(a) A person who possesses an identification card shall:

(1) Within seven days, notify the county health department or the county's designee of any change in the person's attending physician or designated primary caregiver, if any.

(2) Annually submit to the county health department or the county's designee the following:

(A) Updated written documentation of the person's serious medical condition.

(B) The name and duties of the person's designated primary caregiver, if any, for the forthcoming year.

(b) If a person who possesses an identification card fails to comply with this section, the card shall be deemed expired. If an identification card expires, the identification card of any designated primary caregiver of the person shall also expire.

(c) If the designated primary caregiver has been changed, the previous primary caregiver shall return his or her identification card to the department or to the county health department or the county's designee.

(d) If the owner or operator or an employee of the owner or operator of a provider has been designated as a primary caregiver pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of Section 11362.7, of the qualified patient or person with an identification card, the owner or operator shall notify the county health department or the county's designee, pursuant to Section 11362.715, if a change in the designated primary caregiver has occurred.

§ 11362.765. Specified individuals not subject to criminal liability

(a) Subject to the requirements of this article, the individuals specified in subdivision (b) shall not be subject, on that sole basis, to criminal liability under Section 11357, 11358, 11359, 11360, 11366, 11366.5, or 11570. However, nothing in this section shall authorize the individual to smoke or otherwise consume marijuana unless otherwise authorized by this article, nor shall

anything in this section authorize any individual or group to cultivate or distribute marijuana for profit.

(b) Subdivision (a) shall apply to all of the following:

(1) A qualified patient or a person with an identification card who transports or processes marijuana for his or her own personal medical use.

(2) A designated primary caregiver who transports, processes, administers, delivers, or gives away marijuana for medical purposes, in amounts not exceeding those established in subdivision (a) of Section 11362.77, only to the qualified patient of the primary caregiver, or to the person with an identification card who has designated the individual as a primary caregiver.

(3) Any individual who provides assistance to a qualified patient or a person with an identification card, or his or her designated primary caregiver, in administering medical marijuana to the qualified patient or person or acquiring the skills necessary to cultivate or administer marijuana for medical purposes to the qualified patient or person.

(c) A primary caregiver who receives compensation for actual expenses, including reasonable compensation incurred for services provided to an eligible qualified patient or person with an identification card to enable that person to use marijuana under this article, or for payment for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in providing those services, or both, shall not, on the sole basis of that fact, be subject to prosecution or punishment under Section 11359 or 11360.

§ 11362.77. Quantity of marijuana which qualified patient or primary caregiver may possess; Local guidelines; Recommendations by Attorney General

(a) A qualified patient or primary caregiver may possess no more than eight ounces of dried marijuana per qualified patient. In addition, a qualified patient or primary caregiver may also maintain no more than six mature or 12 immature marijuana plants per qualified patient.

(b) If a qualified patient or primary caregiver has a doctor's recommendation that this quantity does not meet the qualified patient's medical needs, the qualified patient or primary caregiver may possess an amount of marijuana consistent with the patient's needs.

(c) Counties and cities may retain or enact medical marijuana guidelines allowing qualified patients or primary caregivers to exceed the state limits set forth in subdivision (a).

(d) Only the dried mature processed flowers of female cannabis plant or the plant conversion shall be considered when determining allowable quantities of marijuana under this section.

(e) The Attorney General may recommend modifications to the possession or cultivation limits set forth in this section. These recommendations, if any, shall be made to the Legislature no later than December 1, 2005, and may be made only after public comment and consultation with interested organizations, including, but not limited to, patients, health care professionals, researchers, law enforcement, and local governments. Any recommended modification shall be consistent with the intent of this article and shall be based on currently available scientific research.

(f) A qualified patient or a person holding a valid identification card, or the designated primary caregiver of that qualified patient or person, may possess amounts of marijuana consistent with this article.

§ 11362.775. Collective or cooperative cultivation of marijuana by qualified persons

Qualified patients, persons with valid identification cards, and the designated primary caregivers of qualified patients and persons with identification cards, who associate within the State of California in order collectively or cooperatively to cultivate marijuana for medical purposes, shall not solely on the basis of that fact be subject to state criminal sanctions under Section 11357, 11358, 11359, 11360, 11366, 11366.5, or 11570.

§ 11362.78. Acceptance of identification card by law enforcement agency or officer

A state or local law enforcement agency or officer shall not refuse to accept an identification card issued by the department unless the state or local law enforcement agency or officer has reasonable cause to believe that the information contained in the card is false or fraudulent, or the card is being used fraudulently.

§ 11362.785. Places of employment; Penal institutions; Incarcerated persons; Health insurance providers

(a) Nothing in this article shall require any accommodation of any medical use of marijuana on the property or premises of any place of employment or during the hours of employment or on the property or premises of any jail, correctional facility, or other type of penal institution in which prisoners reside or persons under arrest are detained.

(b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), a person shall not be prohibited or prevented from obtaining and submitting the written information and documentation necessary to apply for an identification card on the basis that the person is incarcerated in a jail, correctional facility, or other penal institution in which prisoners reside or persons under arrest are detained.

(c) Nothing in this article shall prohibit a jail, correctional facility, or other penal institution in which prisoners reside or persons under arrest are detained, from permitting a prisoner or a person under arrest who has an identification card, to use marijuana for medical purposes under circumstances that will not endanger the health or safety of other prisoners or the security of the facility.

(d) Nothing in this article shall require a governmental, private, or any other health insurance provider or health care service plan to be liable for any claim for reimbursement for the medical use of marijuana.

§ 11362.79. Circumstances in which smoking of medical marijuana is not authorized

Nothing in this article shall authorize a qualified patient or person with an identification card to engage in the smoking of medical marijuana under any of the following circumstances:

(a) In any place where smoking is prohibited by law.

(b) In or within 1,000 feet of the grounds of a school, recreation center, or youth center, unless the medical use occurs within a residence.

(c) On a schoolbus.

(d) While in a motor vehicle that is being operated.

(e) While operating a boat.

§ 11362.795. Use of medical marijuana by person on probation or parole or released on bail; Court request; Request and appeal by parolee

(a)

(1) Any criminal defendant who is eligible to use marijuana pursuant to Section 11362.5 may request that the court confirm that he or she is allowed to use medical marijuana while he or she is on probation or released on bail.

(2) The court's decision and the reasons for the decision shall be stated on the record and an entry stating those reasons shall be made in the minutes of the court.

(3) During the period of probation or release on bail, if a physician recommends that the probationer or defendant use medical marijuana, the probationer or defendant may request a modification of the conditions of probation or bail to authorize the use of medical marijuana.

(4) The court's consideration of the modification request authorized by this subdivision shall comply with the requirements of this section.

(b)

(1) Any person who is to be released on parole from a jail, state prison, school, road camp, or other state or local institution of confinement and who is eligible to use medical marijuana pursuant to Section 11362.5 may request that he or she be allowed to use medical marijuana during the period he or she is released on parole. A parolee's written conditions of parole shall reflect whether or not a request for a modification of the conditions of his or her parole to use medical marijuana was made, and whether the request was granted or denied.

(2) During the period of the parole, where a physician recommends that the parolee use medical marijuana, the parolee may request a modification of the conditions of the parole to authorize the use of medical marijuana.

(3) Any parolee whose request to use medical marijuana while on parole was denied may pursue an administrative appeal of the decision. Any decision on the appeal shall be in writing and shall reflect the reasons for the decision.

(4) The administrative consideration of the modification request authorized by this subdivision shall comply with the requirements of this section.

§ 11362.8. Disciplinary action against licensee of professional licensing board; Discussions between physician and patient

No professional licensing board may impose a civil penalty or take other disciplinary action against a licensee based solely on the fact that the licensee has performed acts that are necessary or appropriate to carry out the licensee's role as a designated primary caregiver to a person who is a qualified patient or who possesses a lawful identification card issued pursuant to Section 11362.72. However, this section shall not apply to acts performed by a physician relating to the discussion or recommendation of the medical use of marijuana to a patient. These discussions or recommendations, or both, shall be governed by Section 11362.5.

§ 11362.81. Penalties for specified activities; Guidelines to ensure security and nondiversion of marijuana grown for medical use

(a) A person specified in subdivision (b) shall be subject to the following penalties:

(1) For the first offense, imprisonment in the county jail for no more than six months or a fine not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or both.

(2) For a second or subsequent offense, imprisonment in the county jail for no more than one year, or a fine not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or both.

(b) Subdivision (a) applies to any of the following:

(1) A person who fraudulently represents a medical condition or fraudulently provides any material misinformation to a physician, county health department or the county's designee, or state or local law enforcement agency or officer, for the purpose of falsely obtaining an identification card.

(2) A person who steals or fraudulently uses any person's identification card in order to acquire, possess, cultivate, transport, use, produce, or distribute marijuana.

(3) A person who counterfeits, tampers with, or fraudulently produces an identification card.

(4) A person who breaches the confidentiality requirements of this article to information provided to, or contained in the records of, the department or of a county health department or the county's designee pertaining to an identification card program.

(c) In addition to the penalties prescribed in subdivision (a), any person described in subdivision (b) may be precluded from attempting to obtain, or obtaining or using, an identification card for a period of up to six months at the discretion of the court.

(d) In addition to the requirements of this article, the Attorney General shall develop and adopt appropriate guidelines to ensure the security and nondiversion of marijuana grown for medical use by patients qualified under the Compassionate Use Act of 1996.

§ 11362.82. Severability

If any section, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase, or portion of this article is for any reason held invalid or unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, that portion shall be deemed a separate, distinct, and independent provision, and that holding shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion thereof.

§ 11362.83. Adoption of laws by city or other local governing body

Nothing in this article shall prevent a city or other local governing body from adopting and enforcing laws consistent with this article.

ATTACHMENT NO. 4

**LANCASTER CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION
MINUTES
AUGUST 12, 2009**

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Augusta called the meeting of the Lancaster Criminal Justice Commission to order at 10:00 a.m.

ROLL CALL

Present: Commission Members: Brown; Greer; Johnson, Vierra; Vice Chairman Crist; Chairman Augusta

Staff

Members: City Manager, Deputy City Manager, Community Safety Supervisor, Recording Secretary

Additional

Attendees: Captain Anderson, Lieutenant Downton,

CC 1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – JULY 22, 2009

On a motion by Vice Chairman Crist and seconded by Commissioner Greer, the Commission approved the minutes of July 22, 2009 by the following vote: 6-0-0-0; AYES: Greer, Johnson, Brown, Vierra, Crist, Augusta; NOES: None; ABSTAIN: None; ABSENT: None.

NB 1. PAROLE UPDATE: HOW THE STATE BUDGET CUTS WILL AFFECT PAROLE

Captain Anderson discussed challenges that the California Department of Corrections (CDC) is having. Information included but was not limited to: Reduced operating budget; parolee release/return information; lack of authority in advising where parolees can be released; lack of resources to monitor parolees; the need to vary the mission of the Target Oriented Policing (TOP) team; important to send very clear message to parolees in Lancaster; the Sheriff's Department will be aggressively monitoring and arresting parolees when they re-offend.

Commissioner comments included:

Thanked the Sheriff's Department for being proactive; discussed the difference between summary parole and regular parole; clarification regarding location to release parolees; discussed the importance of having representation of the State Parole Board on the Criminal Justice Commission.

CNB 1. DISCUSS AND DETERMINE A FINAL RECOMMENDATION ON REGULATING THE USE OF MEDICAL MARIJUANA FOR THE CITY COUNCIL TO CONSIDER AT A FUTURE CITY COUNCIL MEETING.

Addressing the Commission on this matter:

David Paul – discussed the Human Accountability Project.

The following people spoke in opposition to having medical marijuana dispensaries, collectives and cooperatives: Shannon Andrus; Ann Greenhouse; Heather Horning; Robert Knoob; Jill Tanner; Stephen Ehlers; Wayne Hunt; Thomas Pickens; Scott Ownbey.

Bishop Hearn – Stated that he was in opposition of having dispensaries, but not opposed to having an ordinance written that would allow collectives and/or cooperatives with strong guidelines.

The following people spoke in favor of having ordinances in place regarding collectives and/or cooperatives: Melanie Coker; January Onelo; Tarlise Netherly; Victoria Zavalla; Ron Tisbert; Patricia Rodriguez.

Commissioner comments included:

Importance of making distinction between dispensary and collectives/cooperatives; against dispensaries; it is the City Council's job to draft an ordinance to regulate collectives/cooperatives; State Law allows collectives/cooperatives not dispensaries and how they work; dispensaries would not reduce Part I crime and would not be good for the City of Lancaster; recommend to the City Council that they (the City Council) draft very specific guidelines regarding collectives/cooperatives, such as fingerprinting, photo identification, strict zoning restricted to industrial sites, registration with local law enforcement, strong security, licensing fees to cover additional enforcement costs; concerns over contradiction between state and federal laws; giving clear direction to law enforcement.

On a motion by Commissioner Brown and seconded by Vice Chairman Crist, the Commission approved to send a recommendation to the City Council that dispensaries not be allowed by the following vote: 6-0-0-0; AYES: Greer, Johnson, Brown, Vierra, Crist, Augusta; NOES: None; ABSTAIN: None; ABSENT: None.

Further discussion took place among the Criminal Justice Commission regarding the recommendation to City Council regarding the establishment of an ordinance pertaining to collectives/cooperatives.

On a motion by Commissioner Johnson and seconded by Commissioner Greer, the Commission approved the following recommendation be given to the City Council: While self-contained medical marijuana collectives/cooperatives are clearly allowed under state law, and prohibited under federal law, the Criminal Justice Commission recommends that if the City Council of the City of Lancaster chooses to allow collectives and/or cooperatives that they be regulated with very specific guidelines that reduce the opportunity for abuse and criminal behavior, by the following vote: 5-1-0-0; AYES: Greer, Johnson, Brown, Vierra, Crist; NOES: Augusta; ABSTAIN: None; ABSENT: None.

Liaison Parris and the Deputy City Manager suggested that one or two of the commissioners be available to work with City staff in the drafting of any ordinance that might come about as direction from City Council regarding collectives/cooperatives.

On a motion by Commissioner Vierra and seconded by Commissioner Greer, the Commission approved that Commissioner Brown and Vice Chairman Crist be a part of the committee to follow up with the City Council regarding the drafting of any ordinances to regulate collectives/cooperatives, by the following vote: 6-0-0-0; AYES: Greer, Johnson, Brown, Vierra, Crist, Augusta; NOES: None; ABSTAIN: None; ABSENT: None.

COMMISSION REPORTS

CR 1. CRIME STATISTICS REPORT

Jim Kobolt provided crime statistics for Weeks 30-32. Captain Anderson of the Lancaster Sheriff's Station stated that this information allows the Sheriff's Department to become more aware of how to disburse resources.

CR 2. REPORT ON NEIGHBORHOOD IMPACT PROGRAM

Commissioner Johnson stated that the City will be hosting a barbecue on August 29th in the Piute Neighborhood; took young people to Grace Resource Center to serve food to homeless people; there was a front yard clean up; Zuma beach trip for the Piute Neighborhood; sent nine children to summer camp; there are a couple of JetHawks games set up for the neighborhood.

PUBLIC BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR - NON-AGENDIZED ITEMS

None

COMMISSIONER COMMENTS

Chairman Augusta thanked each commissioner on their hard work on the medical marijuana collectives/cooperatives issue, the whole process is going well.

Commissioner Brown excused himself from the meeting at 12:10 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Augusta adjourned the Criminal Justice Commission meeting at 12:15 p.m. and stated that the next meeting of the Criminal Justice Commission would take place on Wednesday, September 9, 2009 at 10:00 a.m.

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

TERRI L. GARNER
COMMISSION SECRETARY

GREGORY AUGUSTA
CHAIRMAN

CERTIFICATION OF MINUTES
LANCASTER CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

I, _____, _____ of the City of Lancaster, CA, do hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the original Lancaster Criminal Justice Commission minutes, for which the original is on file in my office.

WITNESS MY HAND AND THE SEAL OF THE CITY OF LANCASTER, CA on this _____ day of _____, _____.

(seal)
